

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,985	02/13/2002	Gote Karlsson	34354 6871	
116	7590 03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- hand from the second		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/074,985	KARLSSON, GOTE				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandonent which are the states and application applications.	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) X they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	•					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scope of the newly presented claims 10-16 raise new issue because it is different that the scope of the previously presented claims 1 and 5-9.

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

FEB 1 3 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/074,985

Confirmation No.6871

**Applicant** Filed

Karlsson, Gote February 13, 2002

TC/A.U.

3677

Examiner Title

**RUTH C. RODRIGUEZ** DEVICE FOR ATTACHING A HANDLE

Docket No.

34354 000116

Customer No. Mail Stop After Final

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Sir:

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## Amendment "C" After Final

DO NOT

This amendment is filed in response to the Office action dated November 14, 2003 (Paper No. 10). The three month period for responding to the Office action expires on February 14, 2004.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 4 of this paper.

I hereby certify that this correspondence to being transmitted to the United States Postal Service via facsimile to (703) 872-9308 on the date Indicated below.

February 13, 2004

Ronald M. Kachmarlk
Name of Attorney for Applicant(s)

Signature of Attorney

Date

Page 1 of 5